# Liquid-Phase Thermodynamic Properties for the Binary and Ternary Systems of Propane (1), *n*-Butane (2), and Isobutane (3)

Yohei Kayukawa,\*<sup>,†</sup> Masaya Hasumoto,<sup>†,‡</sup> Yuya Kano,<sup>†</sup> and Koichi Watanabe<sup>†</sup>

School of Science for Open and Environmental Systems, Graduate School of Science and Technology, Keio University, 3-14-1, Hiyoshi, Kohoku-ku, Yokohama 223-8522, Japan

Experimental thermodynamic property data for hydrocarbon refrigerant mixtures are more important now in order to improve the performance of existing equations of state. In the present paper, liquid-phase *PVTx* data including bubble points for the binary and ternary systems composed of propane (1), *n*-butane (2), and isobutane (3) are reported. More than 1000 measurements were made by employing a newly developed vibrating-tube densimeter system with an uncertainty of  $0.1 \text{ kg} \cdot \text{m}^{-3} + 0.024\%$  for density, (3 to 7) mK for temperature, 0.26 kPa + 0.022% for pressure, and 0.1 mol % for composition. The present data contain the first set of experimental *PVTx* data for the ternary system. The measured data are compared with two available thermodynamic mixture models developed by Lemmon and Jacobsen and Miyamoto and Watanabe. A mixture model applied for a modified Tait equation of state is also presented in this paper.

## Introduction

The production of refrigeration systems, especially household refrigerators, with hydrocarbon refrigerants is rapidly increasing with nonhalogenated refrigerants. Mathematical models that represent the thermodynamic properties of refrigerants are called equations of state (EoS), and their role as a cycle-performance estimation tool, or standard values of thermodynamic properties, is significant in the R&D activities of HVAC & R engineering. The latest EoS for propane (1), *n*-butane (2), and isobutane (3) formulated by Miyamoto and Watanabe<sup>1–3</sup> are now included in the thermodynamic-property calculation software REFPROP (version 7.0)<sup>4</sup> released by NIST (the National Institute of Standards and Technology, Boulder, Colorado).

To improve the cycle efficiency of refrigeration systems, the use of refrigerant mixtures to realize the Lorentz cycle is of concern. Equations of state for mixtures are now more important to match the increasing demand for accurate thermodynamic-property values of refrigerant mixtures. Such a function is available with REFPROP. It employes the generalized mixing rule for the Helmholtz free energy proposed by Lemmon and Jacobsen<sup>5</sup> and was developed on the basis of experimental data for selected mixtures. However, the reproducibility of the model may sometimes be unknown for unstudied mixture systems, such as hydrocarbon mixtures. Miyamoto and Watanabe<sup>6</sup> have also developed a mixture thermodynamic model for hydrocarbon mixtures composed of propane (1), *n*-butane (2), and isobutane (3). They developed their model on the basis of the available experimental data for the mixtures. We intend to evaluate these two available mixture models by comparing them with new data for hydrocarbon mixtures.

<sup>†</sup> Keio University.

In the present study, approximately 300 PVTx properties including those at the bubble points of the three binary systems propane (1) + *n*-butane (2), propane (1) + isobutane (3), and *n*-butane (2) + isobutane (3) were measured by using a vibrating-tube densimeter apparatus. Nearly the same number of data were also obtained for the ternary system propane (1) + *n*-butane (2) + isobutane (3). The measurement system has been reported by the present authors<sup>7</sup> and has been used for pure refrigerant measurements, including those for hydrofluoroethers<sup>8</sup> and hydrocarbons.<sup>9</sup> The *PVTx* data were compared with the available mixture models. The representation of the saturated-liquid densities is also discussed in this paper.

On the basis of the present measurements, we have proposed a new mixing rule for a liquid-phase thermodynamic model that was originally developed by us.<sup>9</sup> In addition to the comparison with the available *PVTx* data, the thermodynamic consistency of the model is also disucussed by presenting some derived properties including the compressibility, specific heat capacity, and speed of sound.

**Setup.** A *PVT* property measurement system with a vibrating-tube densimeter was used in the present study. The apparatus is described in the literature<sup>7,10</sup> in detail.

The system configuration of the present apparatus is illustrated in Figure 1. The system has a pressure-density measurement unit shown in Figure 2 as a main part. A commercially available densimeter (DMA512, Anton Paar, K. G.) was attached to a stainless steel block being applied as a balance weight (5.5 kg). It was suspended from the mounting frame of the apparatus. There were also a digital pressure gauge (PT) and a variable-volume vessel (VB) installed between some of the valves.

The sample pressure and density can be controlled through the metallic bellows of the variable-volume vessel by injecting or releasing nitrogen gas into and from the outer space of the bellows.

A standard platinum resistance thermometer (PRT) was installed very close to the densimeter. The temperature was regarded as being uniform by circulating the bath fluid (silicone oil), and it was feedback controlled by tempera-

<sup>\*</sup> To whom correspondense should be addressed. Present affiliation: Fluid Properties Section, Material Properties and Metrological Statistics Division, National Metrology Institute of Japan, National Institute of Advanced Industrial Science and Technology, AIST Tsukuba Central 3, Tsukuba 305-8563, Japan. Tel: +81-29-861-6833. Fax: +81-29-850-1464. E-mail: kayukawa-y@aist.go.jp.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>‡</sup> Present affiliation: Builiding Systems Solution Department, Building Systems Development Center, Matsushita Electric Works, Ltd., 1048, Kadoma, Osaka 571-8686, Japan.



**Figure 1.** Schematic diagram of the experimental apparatus: VD, vibrating-tube densimeter cell; FC, frequency counter; PT, digital pressure gauge; PC, pressure computer; VB, variable-volume vessel with metallic bellows; PR, pressure regulator; NB, nitrogen gas bomb; SC, sample-supplying cylinder; DB, digital balance; VP, vacuum pump; PRT, standard platinum resistance thermometer; TB, thermometer bridge; LB, liquid bath; PID, PID controller; TR, thyristor regulator; SH, subheater; MH, main heater; ST, slide transformer; SR, stirrer; HX, heat exchanger; TP, temperature regulator pump; CB, cooling bath; HC, handy cooler; V1–7, valves.

ture-controlling devices such as a PID controller (PID), a thermometer bridge (TB), and a subheater (SH).

*Materials and Mixture Sample Charging.* In the present study, the mixture sample was prepared from each pure component sample as follows. Research-grade-purity samples of propane, *n*-butane, and isobutane were supplied by Nippon Sanso Co., who had reported their purity to be 99.99 mol % or higher from their gas-chromatograph analysis.

Each pure sample of previously planned mass (3 to 15 g) was prepared in a sample-supplying cylinder. The evacuated cylinder was charged with each pure sample, and then the mass of the cylinder was measured and regulated with an electronic balance with 1 mg resolution. Then, two or three sample cylinders prepared as described above were connected to the density measurement system as shown in Figure 3. For mixture sample charging, it is essential to let all of the prepared sample transfer into the measurement system in order to conduct density measurements with the same composition sample as prepared. Because a flushing procedure was not available, it was necessary to evacuate the system and sample feeding line under extreme vacuum for several hours. It was possible to conduct a flushing procedure with a sample of one of the mixture components and it was separately prepared from those used for the measurement.

After a considerable period of evacuation, valve V3 was closed, and then the sample-supplying cylinder valves were



Figure 2. Drawing of the pressure-density measurement unit.



Figure 3. Connection diagram of the mixture sample transmission procedure.

opened to let the sample transfer into the system. To charge the measurement system with the entire sample, the variable-volume vessel was cooled by immersing it into liquefied nitrogen while the liquid bath was lowered. In this manner, the whole sample was condensed or solidified inside the bellows. In addition, to make the remaining sample evaporate and be extracted from the samplesupplying cylinder, it was heated with a heating bulb.

**Bubble-Point Measurement.** By employing the new procedure proposed, we determined the bubble point as



Figure 4. Pressure behavior in the vicinity of the bubble point during gradual expansion.

follows. Namely, in the liquid phase in the vicinity of the bubble point, the sample pressure was slowly lowered by slightly opening valve V8 in Figure 1 and extracting the nitrogen gas. A suitable pressure-dropping rate is about -1 to -10 kPa·min<sup>-1</sup>. When the pressure went down below the bubble-point pressure, the mixture sample became supercooled until sudden evaporation occurs by a certain reason. Once the evaporation happened, it continued until the pressure recovered to a normal level, and then it gradually descended along the isotherm under the two-phase condition.

This behavior is illustrated in Figure 4. Ideally, the isothermal pressure line kinks at bubble point C in Figure 4 and then goes straight along the two-phase isothermal pressure line C-A-B to point B. In reality, however, bubble point C is located at the intersection point with the extension of straight line A-B. However, the sample temperature is lower because the pressure is decreasing. Therefore, in the present study, two or three apparent intersection points were observed with a different pressure dropping rate, and thus the bubble point was determined precisely by extrapolating to the state point where dP/dt = 0 (*t*: time elapsed).

**Calibration and Uncertainty.** The temperature measurement uncertainty was 3 mK, with a coverage factor of k = 2. The value is a sum of the measurement uncertainty of the thermometer (PRT), the thermometer bridge (TB) and of the temperature fluctuation of the PID control ( $\pm 1$  mK). At 360 K or higher, it became 7 mK because of an increase in temperature fluctuations.

We have estimated the pressure measurement uncertainty to be U = 0.26 kPa + 0.00022*P*. Because the digital pressure gauge is placed inside the liquid bath, it was calibrated over the full range of temperature (240 to 380) K. The nonlinearity of the pressure gauge was also calibrated.

When calibrating a vibrating-tube densimeter, one must be careful because the density measurement uncertainty may increase at a certain difference in density from the calibration point because the relation between the vibration period and the density is not perfectly linear. This is particularly a problem when measuring the density of hydrocarbons whose density is about (400 to 600) kg·m<sup>-3</sup> in the liquid phase. In the present study, we have corrected the nonlinearlity of the densimeter by employing the following calibration function (eq 1).

This function was determined by measuring the vibration period of water. The nonlinearity of the relation between  $\tau^2$  and  $\rho$  is corrected by introducing a device-dependent constant,  $\mu$ ,

$$\rho = A \frac{(1+\mu)x}{1-\mu x} \tag{1}$$

$$\mathbf{A} = (a_1 + a_2 T^{*0.75} + a_3 T^{*3})(1 - a_4 P^*) \tag{2}$$

$$P^* = \frac{P/kPa}{7000} \tag{3}$$

$$T^* = \frac{T/K}{400} \tag{4}$$

where x is a periodical parameter defined by  $x = (\tau^2/\tau_0^2) - 1$ ,  $\tau$  denotes a vibration period, and  $\tau_0$  is that under vacuum. The parameter  $\tau_0$  was measured under vacuum condition between each isothermal experiment for the sample. A proportional parameter, A, was determined by calibration with water, where  $\mu$  was determined to be  $\mu = 0.0030 \pm 0.0005$  by comparing the density of water and isooctane, whose density is precisely calibrated to 40 ppm on the same (P, T) grid. The density measurement uncertainty was evaluated to be  $0.1 \text{ kg} \cdot \text{m}^{-3} + 0.00024\rho$ , where the coverage factor is k = 2.

The uncertainty in mole fraction was estimated from the mass measurement uncertainty (1 mg) in the mixture sample preparation procedure to be less than 0.1 mol %.

## **Results and Discussion**

Introductory Remarks. A total of 288, 271, and 313 liquid-phase *PVTx* properties for binary systems propane (1) + n-butane (2), propane (1) + isobutane (3), and n-butane (2) + isobutane (3) were obtained for mole fractions of 0.25, 0.50, and 0.75. We have also measured 295 PVTx values of the ternary system propane (1) + n-butane (2) + isobutane (3) in the liquid phase at mole fractions  $(x_1, x_2) = (0.2, 0.6)$ , (0.33, 0.34), and (0.6, 0.2). These data are tabulated in Tables 1 through 4. Bubblepoint pressures and saturated-liquid densities were also obtained, and these data are summarized in Table 5. The composition distribution of the measured data are illustrated in a trilinear diagram (Figure 5) together with other reported *PVTx* data. It should be noted that a couple of data points reported by Luo and Miller<sup>11</sup> are gas-phase PVTx data. It is emphasized, therefore, that the present data contain the first set of liquid-phase PVTx data for the ternary mixture of present interest.

Т	Р	ρ	Т	Р	ρ	Т	Р	ρ	Т	Р	ρ	Т	Р	ρ	Т	Р	ρ
K	kPa	$kg \cdot m^{-3}$	Κ	kPa	$kg \cdot m^{-3}$	К	kPa	$kg \cdot m^{-3}$	Κ	kPa	$kg \cdot m^{-3}$	Κ	kPa	$kg{\boldsymbol{\cdot}}m^{-3}$	Κ	kPa	kg•m <sup>-3</sup>
			x	$_1 = 0.250$	0							x	$_1 = 0.500$	0			
239.039	6930.6	629.26	239.039	6518.0	628.86	239.039	6029.4	628.39	300.000	5508.8	545.86	300.000	4953.2	544.76	300.000	4514.7	543.88
239.039	5524.3	627.90	239.039	4950.0	627.33	239.039	4514.6	626.90	300.000	4015.9	542.85	300.000	3505.6	541.79	300.000	2946.2	540.60
239.039	3994.0	626.39	239.039	3473.7	625.88	239.039	3058.3	625.46	300.000	2461.4	539.56	300.000	1950.9	538.44	300.000	1541.4	537.52
239.039	2486.4	624.89	239.039	1962.4	624.35	239.039	1507.7	623.90	300.000	990.0	536.26	320.000	7116.4	524.52	320.000	6479.5	522.98
239.039	943.7	623.31	239.039	463.7	622.82	260.000	7011.2	608.56	320.000	5989.8	521.77	320.000	5490.6	520.51	320.000	4988.6	519.21
260.000	6503.8	607.98	260.000	6034.1	607.43	260.000	5512.4	606.82	320.000	4497.4	517.90	320.000	4017.1	516.60	320.000	3509.2	515.19
260.000	5017.7	606.24	260.000	4511.7	605.63	260.000	4007.0	605.01	320.000	2999.8	513.74	320.000	2516.5	512.32	320.000	2010.3	510.79
260.000	3449.6	604.34	260.000	3004.0	603.79	260.000	2521.3	603.20	320.000	1496.3	509.20	340.000	7014.4	497.66	340.000	6534.4	496.09
260.000	1989.9	602.54	260.000	1482.5	601.91	260.000	1004.9	601.30	340.000	6031.2	494.40	340.000	5511.6	492.60	340.000	5012.2	490.80
260.000	524.1	600.69	280.000	7009.3	587.89	280.000	6517.1	587.21	340.000	4496.8	488.89	340.000	4016.2	487.04	340.000	3515.5	485.04
280.000	6005.9	586.49	280.000	5502.7	585.78	280.000	5019.7	585.09	340.000	3013.6	482.96	340.000	2508.8	480.75	340.000	2013.6	478.50
280.000	4505.3	584.35	280.000	4015.0	583.63	280.000	3502.3	582.88	360.000	6972.7	468.36	360.000	6530.6	466.12	360.000	6017.8	463.52
280.000	3000.3	582.13	280.000	2503.9	581.38	280.000	2015.5	580.63	360.000	5525.0	460.95	360.000	5025.4	458.22	360.000	4529.2	455.37
280.000	1496.7	579.83	280.000	994.1	579.05	280.000	524.1	578.30	360.000	4023.8	452.26	360.000	3530.7	449.00	360.000	3014.4	445.35
300.000	7074.7	566.49	300.000	6488.8	565.48	300.000	5929.8	564.50	360.000	2528.0	441.58	380.000	6908.8	431.30	380.000	6480.6	428.09
300.000	5451.1	563.65	300.000	5031.0	562.90	300.000	4511.3	561.97	380.000	5962.5	423.89	380.000	5494.5	419.73	380.000	5019.0	415.08
300.000	3998.7	561.02	300.000	3507.9	560.13	300.000	3012.5	559.20	380.000	4476.5	409.03	380.000	4001.1	402.87	380.000	3523.1	395.46
300.000	2497.9	558.21	300.000	2002.5	557.25	300.000	1504.4	556.28				x	$_1 = 0.750$	)			
300.000	1005.5	555.26	320.000	7003.8	543.49	320.000	6524.6	542.42	240.000	7045.6	597.30	240.000	6539.6	596.77	240.000	6044.8	596.20
320.000	6013.9	541.36	320.000	5501.0	540.19	320.000	4989.7	539.00	240.000	5521.6	595.64	240.000	4946.9	594.99	240.000	4526.8	594.51
320.000	4472.0	537.80	320.000	4012.1	536.73	320.000	3516.7	535.58	240.000	4031.2	593.97	240.000	3519.4	593.39	240.000	3022.7	592.80
320.000	3012.6	534.34	320.000	2502.8	533.06	320.000	1996.7	531.76	240.000	2519.5	592.21	240.000	2024.2	591.63	240.000	1505.4	591.03
320.000	1482.2	530.41	320.000	997.4	529.12	340.000	6991.8	518.67	240.000	1006.3	590.44	240.000	514.9	589.83	260.000	6943.0	575.60
340.000	6477.8	517.22	340.000	5958.5	515.74	340.000	5479.2	514.33	260.000	6498.8	575.04	260.000	6049.2	574.41	260.000	5508.1	573.69
340.000	4981.8	512.84	340.000	4514.8	511.39	340.000	3984.5	509.71	260.000	5022.8	573.01	260.000	4494.5	572.27	260.000	4006.2	571.62
340.000	3488.0	508.09	340.000	2997.6	506.44	340.000	2454.3	504.54	260.000	3495.5	570.88	260.000	3015.6	570.19	260.000	2508.4	569.45
340.000	1990.9	502.87	340.000	1485.3	500.98	360.000	6966.9	491.30	260.000	2003.6	568.71	260.000	1504.2	567.97	260.000	996.9	567.21
360.000	6472.2	489.44	360.000	5999.9	487.58	360.000	5492.3	485.53	260.000	489.6	566.44	280.000	7012.2	553.04	280.000	6530.4	552.22
360.000	5018.1	483.52	360.000	4494.7	481.23	360.000	4004.6	478.98	280.000	6043.7	551.39	280.000	5508.8	550.50	280.000	4984.9	549.59
360.000	3479.5	476.45	360.000	3030.5	474.17	360.000	2483.2	471.24	280.000	4508.2	548.75	280.000	4015.0	547.86	280.000	3513.7	546.96
360.000	1968.3	468.30	380.000	6952.8	459.99	380.000	6502.4	457.46	280.000	3018.4	546.06	280.000	2509.6	545.11	280.000	2012.9	544.18
380.000	5995.5	454.49	380.000	5497.5	451.38	380.000	4998.7	448.08	280.000	1506.3	543.19	300.000	7015.2	529.08	300.000	6473.6	527.92
380.000	4501.1	444.52	380.000	3998.7	440.67	380.000	3508.7	436.48	300.000	5950.0	526.78	300.000	5474.7	525.74	300.000	5016.7	524.69
380.000	3006.4	431.76	380.000	2507.8	426.44				300.000	4513.8	523.55	300.000	4017.5	522.39	300.000	3511.5	521.18
040.000	C079 1	019 74	240.000	$_{1} = 0.500$	J C19.05	940.000	C000 1	C10 74	300.000	3009.2	519.95	300.000	2507.7	518.69	300.000	1972.1	517.33
240.000	6973.1 E 470.1	013.74	240.000	0002.7	013.20	240.000	4402.1	012.74	300.000	1004.7	510.09	300.000	1002.3	014.70	320.000	1020.1	202.78
240.000	20525	012.19	240.000	4972.1	011.00	240.000	4493.1	011.14	320.000	6022.9 5010.1	001.37	320.000	4510.7	499.80	320.000	00107	498.43
240.000	3952.5	610.58	240.000	3501.4	610.09	240.000	2956.0	609.51	320.000	2450 5	496.89	320.000	4512.7	495.29	320.000	4013.7	493.68
240.000	2491.0	609.00	240.000	1962.4	008.42	240.000	1479.0	502.40	320.000	3439.3	491.82	320.000	1500.0	490.20	320.000	2497.1	400.41
240.000	990.7	500.00	240.000	4/8.0	500.78	260.000	0980.0 FE10.7	501.CC	320.000	2020.7	480.04	320.000	1009.8	484.00	340.000	6903.Z	472.80
260.000	601Z.1	592.90	260.000	4505.0	592.29	260.000	0013.7	591.00	340.000	0490.0	471.01	340.000	0990.2	408.91	340.000	0017.0	400.87
260.000	2500.0	591.03	260.000	4020.9	090.39 E00.4E	260.000	4004.0	009.13	340.000	4991.2	404.48	340.000	4409.1	462.01	340.000	4021.0	409.81
200.000	2004 6	597 10	200.000	1506 4	000.40 586 49	200.000	2017.2	001.10 595 74	240.000	0042.0 1004.0	401.21	260.000	6060 9	404.37	260.000	2021.2	401.44
260.000	2004.0	507.10	260.000	1000.4	571 79	260.000	1001.1 6507.4	571 01	340.000	1994.9	448.00	360.000	6960.Z	430.27	360.000	0494.0 4050.4	433.30
200.000	490.0	570.95	200.000	5506 9	560 47	200.000	4000 7	569 60	260.000	1400 F	449.10	260.000	1024 0	440.13	260.000	4909.4 9504 1	422.10
280.000	4594.0	570.25	280.000	0000.3	567 11	280.000	4990.7	566.09	360.000	4499.0	410.08	200.000	4034.2	413.39	000.000	0004.1	401.14
200.000	4024.0 2006 A	565 AC	200.000	4010.7	561.00	200.000	0004.Z	562 70	260.000	2001.1 5000 0	270.40	280.000	5401 0	390.79 271 EO	260.000	5095 9	260.02
200.000	1502.9	569.09	280.000	2001.9 006 1	562.05	280.000	2004.1 522.0	561 94	260.000	4529 7	240.07	500.000	5491.2	911.99	500.000	0020.3	502.50
200.000	1000.2	512 60	200.000	990.1 6466 1	547 79	200.000	000.Z	546 72	500.000	4000.7	549.07						
500.000	0909.9	J40.0ð	500.000	0400.1	J41.1Z	500.000	J9999.0	040.73									

**Binary System Propane (1)** + *n*-Butane (2). The measured data for the binary system propane (1) + *n*-butane (2) are illustrated on a P-T diagram (Figure 6) together with other literature data. There are two major data sets by Holcomb et al.<sup>12</sup> and Parrish.<sup>13</sup> A few points were reported by Kahre<sup>14</sup> and Thompson and Miller.<sup>15</sup> Luo and Miller<sup>11</sup> reported a single datum point at 15 °C.

Data by Holcomb et al.<sup>12</sup> are limited to a single mole fraction, and the temperature range of those by Parrish<sup>13</sup> are (10 to 60) °C; therefore, the present measurements contain the first set of data at extended temperatures for several mole fractions.

The available density data were compared with the mixture models of Lemmon and Jacobsen<sup>5</sup> (LJ) and Miyamoto and Watanabe<sup>6</sup> (MW).

Figure 7 shows the relative deviations in the density data for the binary system propane (1) + n-butane (2) from the LJ model (top side) and from the MW model (bottom). It is seen from these deviation plots that both mixture models show nearly the same representation for liquid densities. Most of the data below 360 K are well represented within  $\pm 0.3\%$ , but the deviation increases to  $\pm 0.8\%$  at higher temperatures. Because the data of both Holcomb et al.<sup>12</sup> and this work ( $x_1 = 0.250$ ) show the same positive deviation at temperatures from (360 to 380) K, it is considered that the large scatter in the higher-temperature region is a characteristic of the thermodynamic models.

The saturated-liquid density data for the binary system propane (1) + n-butane (2) are also compared with the two models in Figure 8. There are several series of saturatedliquid density measurements only for the present binary system, among all possible combinations of binary and ternary mixtures of propane, *n*-butane, and isobutane. In this comparison of the data with the models, there is a large spread in the density deviations of more than  $\pm 5\%$  around 400 K that are close to the critical-point temperature, but the vertical maximum scale is limited to  $\pm 1\%$  in order to discuss the detailed comparison. As seen in Figure 8, the present data agree well with the LJ model within  $\pm 0.14\%$ and  $\pm 0.10\%$  from the MW model except for two points. The maximum deviation of the present data are found for the measurement at 360 K,  $x_1 = 0.750$ , being -0.72% from the LJ model and -0.60% from the MW model, and it is not larger than the deviation of other literature data.

**Binary System Propane** (1) + **Isobutane** (3). Figure 9 illustrates the PVTx data distribution for the binary

	Table 2.	Experimental Lie	uid Phase	<b>PVTx</b> Data for	the Binary	System Pre	opane (1) +	- Isobutane (	3)
--	----------	------------------	-----------	----------------------	------------	------------	-------------	---------------	----

Т	Р	ρ	Т	Р	ρ	T	Р	ρ	T	Р	ρ	T	Р	ρ	Т	Р	ρ
K	kPa	kg•m <sup>-3</sup>	К	kPa	kg•m <sup>-3</sup>	К	kPa	kg•m <sup>-3</sup>	K	kPa	$kg \cdot m^{-3}$	K	kPa	kg•m <sup>-3</sup>	K	kPa	$kg \cdot m^{-3}$
			x	1 = 0.250	)							x	1 = 0.499	9			
240.000	6987.7	615.48	240.000	6541.5	615.00	240.000	6033.0	614.45	300.000	3043.4	528.93	300.000	2530.4	527.70	300.000	2026.1	526.45
240.000	5533.4	613.91	240.000	5030.4	613.37	240.000	4519.1	612.81	300.000	1519.6	525.17	300.000	996.8	523.82	320.000	6930.3	511.85
240.000	4027.7	612.28	240.000	3537.9	611.73	240.000	3028.4	611.15	320.000	6524.9	510.74	320.000	5997.3	509.24	320.000	5545.8	507.90
240.000	2533.0	610.61	240.000	2004.5	610.02	240.000	1505.9	609.45	320.000	4954.2	506.17	320.000	4530.4	504.90	320.000	4037.4	503.38
240.000	1000.1	608.87	240.000	475.1	608.27	240.000	398.2	608.19	320.000	3550.0	501.84	320.000	3023.9	500.12	320.000	2488.7	498.31
260.000	7006.2	595.15	260.000	6474.3	594.47	260.000	6051.9	593.96	320.000	2041.5	496.75	320.000	1461.2	494.61	340.000	7045.5	482.76
260.000	5550.8	593.30	260.000	4976.3	592.53	260.000	4476.3	591.87	340.000	6513.0	480.75	340.000	5982.0	478.68	340.000	5450.5	476.50
260.000	3986.7	591.20	260.000	3517.2	590.65	260.000	2995.0	589.98	340.000	4990.6	474.50	340.000	4508.4	472.34	340.000	4012.5	470.04
260.000	2504.8	589.32	260.000	2004.4	588.63	260.000	1481.6	587.88	340.000	3485.7	467.47	340.000	2981.6	464.85	340.000	2456.0	461.99
260.000	990.2	587.20	260.000	521.1	586.55	280.000	6965.6	573.82	340.000	2002.6	459.37	360.000	6977.0	452.14	360.000	6487.4	449.41
280.000	6968.2	573.78	280.000	6532.5	573.13	280.000	5989.4	572.28	360.000	5992.3	446.54	360.000	5436.6	443.06	360.000	5000.3	440.17
280.000	5485.0	571.47	280.000	4979.1	570.66	280.000	4516.8	569.91	360.000	4488.6	436.48	360.000	3988.8	432.58	360.000	3496.3	428.34
280.000	3992.4	569.03	280.000	3488.8	568.19	280.000	2999.1	567.36	360.000	2996.3	423.54	360.000	2495.4	418.03	380.000	6885.8	412.58
280.000	2513.6	566.51	280.000	2007.8	565.62	280.000	1462.8	564.67	380.000	6422.5	408.17	380.000	5943.1	403.16	380.000	5506.7	398.06
280.000	1004.7	563.86	280.000	500.3	562.94	300.000	6957.0	551.23	380.000	5016.0	391.43	380.000	4501.9	383.09	380.000	4047.9	373.76
300.000	6516.0	550.41	300.000	6025.1	549.47	300.000	5511.8	548.38	380.000	3540.7	358.66						
300.000	5016.4	547.38	300.000	4483.4	546.25	300.000	4012.0	545.28				x	$_{1} = 0.750$	)			
300.000	3488.8	544.16	300.000	3014.6	543.13	300.000	2510.6	542.01	240.000	6969.5	592.10	240.000	6541.0	591.59	240.000	6009.0	590.98
300.000	2006.6	540.87	300.000	1503.7	539.71	300.000	1001.6	538.53	240.000	5496.4	590.37	240.000	4996.8	589.79	240.000	4515.3	589.21
320.000	6964.1	526.60	320.000	6479.8	525.39	320.000	6001.8	524.19	240.000	4012.9	588.63	240.000	3545.4	588.06	240.000	3006.4	587.40
320.000	5517.3	522.93	320.000	4990.1	521.53	320.000	4522.1	520.26	240.000	2531.8	586.84	240.000	2000.7	586.19	240.000	1473.4	585.53
320.000	4022.9	518.87	320.000	3508.1	517.40	320.000	3007.6	515.95	240.000	1024.1	584.98	240.000	529.1	584.35	260.000	251.9	560.57
320.000	2522.2	514.49	320.000	2023.3	512.94	320.000	1500.0	511.28	260.000	6922.9	570.57	260.000	6513.6	570.10	260.000	5537.1	568.72
320.000	1020.5	509.69	340.000	6963.0	500.75	340.000	6527.5	499.31	260.000	4968.6	568.07	260.000	4527.2	567.50	260.000	4039.9	566.81
340.000	6022.3	497.59	340.000	5522.3	495.84	340.000	4964.9	493.81	260.000	3494.1	566.00	260.000	3035.5	565.31	260.000	2508.8	564.52
340.000	4503.0	492.08	340.000	4015.7	490.21	340.000	3532.9	488.26	260.000	2030.6	563.80	260.000	1524.1	563.04	260.000	1026.1	562.22
340.000	3027.2	486.13	340.000	2523.8	483.92	340.000	2028.0	481.60	260.000	527.0	561.44	280.000	478.7	535.35	280.000	6931.1	547.66
340.000	1014.2	479.11	360.000	0900.4	471.48	360.000	0444.0	409.09	280.000	0488.Z	546.89	280.000	45444	540.00	280.000	4096.9	540.19
360.000	1500.0	466.86	360.000	0010.2	464.45	360.000	4983.9	461.58	280.000	4965.2	544.17	280.000	4544.4	543.38	280.000	4026.3	542.43
360.000	4020.2	400.90	360.000	4022.3	400.90	360.000	3019.8	402.71	280.000	3022.3 2020 G	041.47 590 54	280.000	3019.4	540.50	280.000	2010.3	539.50
360.000	3022.3	449.20	300.000	2032.9	440.00	360.000	2023.3	441.34	280.000	2029.0	038.04 507.95	280.000	1017.2	500.00	280.000	990.0 6472.0	501.00
280.000	7000.0 5499 5	401.90	280.000	0471.0 5010.6	400.74	280.000	1452 0	429.09	200.000	5060.0	590 74	200.000	5459 0	510 57	200.000	4004 5	519 46
280.000	2060.5	420.04	280.000	2405 5	421.02	280.000	9077 9	204 60	200.000	4467 1	517 17	200.000	2006 7	515.07	200.000	2510.9	514.75
380.000	5909.5	403.33	380.000	-0.490.0	400.40	380.000	2311.2	554.00	300.000	3028.7	513 47	300.000	9/03 9	512.04	300.000	2028.2	510.77
250.000	6818.8	502 84	250 000	6509.5	502 18	250.000	5006 5	501 00	300.000	1534.0	509 38	320.000	1995 9	475 59	320.000	7044 7	196.03
250.000	5484.6	501.04	250.000	5042.2	500 74	250.000	4532.5	500 12	320.000	6495.0	101 38	320.000	6003.6	410.02	320.000	5597.9	401 22
250.000	3005.0	580 17	250.000	3/02 5	588.84	250.000	3012.0	588 23	320.000	1968 0	494.00	320.000	4507.7	492.00	320.000	300/ 2	486 19
250.000	2/80.8	587 55	250.000	2027 5	586.05	250.000	1511 1	586.26	320.000	3506.4	484 35	320.000	3025.6	482 53	320.000	95177	480.12
250.000	1014.4	585.61	250,000	515.5	584 94	280,000	6938.9	560.98	320.000	2021 1	478 51	320.000	1505.3	476.31	340.000	70811	466 25
280.000	6525.9	560.31	280.000	6027.0	559.46	280.000	5482.8	558 54	340.000	6506 1	463 79	340.000	5960.4	461 33	340.000	55111	459.25
280,000	5014.0	557 72	280,000	4518.6	556.87	280,000	3992.0	555.95	340.000	4963 1	456 51	340.000	4498.6	454.09	340.000	3969.0	451 17
280.000	3519.6	555 10	280.000	3022.9	554 21	280.000	2489 1	553 22	340.000	3510 1	448 46	340.000	3027.4	445 39	340.000	2489.8	441 74
280.000	2007.8	552.34	280.000	1514.0	551 39	280.000	952.8	550.22	360.000	6932.1	431.05	360.000	6509.8	428 41	360.000	5932.0	424 33
280.000	467.9	549 36	300.000	6984 7	537 69	300.000	6517.3	536 72	360.000	5456.3	420 47	360.000	4923 7	415 49	360.000	4484.8	411.08
300 000	5975 7	535 56	300.000	5458 6	534 46	300.000	4958 9	533 34	360.000	3971.4	405 35	360.000	3960.0	405 21	360.000	3518.2	399 53
300.000	4503.6	532.34	300.000	3995 5	531 16	300.000	3486.8	529.95	300.000	5011.1	100.00	300.000	2000.0	100.21	000.000	3010.2	500.00
200.000	-00000		200.000	2000.0	-01.10	200.000	- 100.0	5-0.00									

system propane (1) + isobutane (3). Most of the data are reported by Duarte-Garza and Magee,<sup>16</sup> except for 4 points by Thompson et al.<sup>15</sup> and 19 points by Kahre.<sup>14</sup>

Density deviations of these available data from those calculated by the LJ model and the MW model are shown in Figure 10. The deviation plots show that both mixture models have nearly the same reproducibility for the present measured data, within about  $\pm 0.7\%$ . The data and the models agree well within  $\pm 0.3\%$ , but the deviations increase at higher temperatures. The present data have larger scatter than those by Duarte-Garza and Magee,<sup>16</sup> probably because of the closer adherence of the present data to the saturation boundary. As for the data by Duarte-Garza and Magee,<sup>16</sup> the LJ model reproduces the data within  $\pm 0.2\%$ . The maximum deviation of their data from the MW model is about 0.04\%, which is smaller than the experimental uncertainty of the data.

Saturated liquid densities were also compared with the mixture models as illustrated in Figure 11. The present measured data have deviations within  $\pm 0.5\%$  except for a single datum. It is considered to be satisfactory because the density expectation uncertainty should be larger than that of the models for pure fluids.

**Binary System n-Butane (2)** + **Isobutane (3).** Figure 12 illustrates the PVTx data distribution for the binary

system *n*-butane (2) + isobutane (3). In contrast to the other two binary systems, there are not enough experimental data for this system, probably because the system draws less interest becaus of the ideality of the binary system of these similar molecules. Only Kahre<sup>14</sup> has reported 16 data points at relatively low pressures (from 0.2 to 0.7) MPa. To develop a precise thermodynamic model for mixture systems including *n*-butane and isobutane, however, experimental data for this binary system are still important. The present data are the first report of a wide range set of *PVTx* data, which can offer valuable information.

The experimental *PVTx* data for *n*-butane (2) + isobutane (3) are compared with the LJ and the MW models in Figure 13. The data of this work deviate by (-0.1 to 0.25)% from the LJ model and by (-0.56 to 0.20)% from the MW model. One reason that the agreement between the data and the models is better than that of the other two binary systems may be the ideality of this binary system as described above. Another is that the experimental range (up to 380 K) is considerably lower than the critical temperatures of *n*-butane (2) (425.125 K<sup>17</sup>) and isobutane (3) (407.761 K<sup>18</sup>).

The saturated liquid densities for this binary system are also compared with those for the LJ and the MW models,

	Table 3.	<b>Experimental Lie</b>	auid Phase <i>l</i>	PVTx Data f	for the Binary	System <i>n</i> -Butane	(2) +	Isobutane (	3)
--	----------	-------------------------	---------------------	-------------	----------------	-------------------------	-------	-------------	----

Т	P	ρ	Т	Р	ρ	Т	Р	ρ	Т	P	ρ	Т	Р	ρ	Т	Р	ρ
Κ	kPa	$kg \cdot m^{-3}$	Κ	kPa	$kg{\boldsymbol{\cdot}}m^{-3}$	Κ	kPa	$kg{\boldsymbol{\cdot}}m^{-3}$	Κ	kPa	$kg \cdot m^{-3}$	Κ	kPa	$kg{\boldsymbol{\cdot}}m^{-3}$	Κ	kPa	$kg \cdot m^{-3}$
			$x_2$	= 0.250								$x_2$	= 0.500				
240.000	6977.0	628.56	240.000	6517.4	628.10	240.000	6067.5	627.64	300.000	983.9	560.78	300.000	510.2	559.82	300.000	312.0	559.40
240.000	5519.2	627.11	240.000	5024.9	626.58	240.000	4485.6	626.03	320.000	7093.8	549.62	320.000	6462.7	548.28	320.000	5971.8	547.22
240.000	3970.1	625.50	240.000	3481.8	624.99	240.000	2987.3	624.47	320.000	5465.3	546.12	320.000	4992.4	545.07	320.000	4492.1	543.94
240.000	2493.8	623.95	240.000	2001.8	623.43	240.000	1503.1	622.90	320.000	3972.8	542.72	320.000	3465.4	541.53	320.000	3020.8	540.47
240.000	999.5	622.36	240.000	481.6	621.79	260.000	7000.3	608.81	320.000	2513.8	539.22	320.000	2007.9	537.95	320.000	1594.1	536.89
260.000	6519.2	608.25	260.000	6028.5	607.65	260.000	5506.4	607.02	320.000	978.9	535.28	340.000	7084.7	525.72	340.000	6476.8	524.07
260.000	5023.4	606.44	260.000	4521.0	605.82	260.000	4014.1	605.19	340.000	6016.6	522.80	340.000	5502.0	521.34	340.000	4988.5	519.84
260.000	3505.2	604.56	260.000	2999.7	603.92	260.000	2511.6	603.29	340.000	4526.2	518.46	340.000	4042.3	516.97	340.000	3521.9	515.35
260.000	2003.1	602.64	260.000	1490.8	601.97	260.000	979.3	601.29	340.000	3001.4	513.65	340.000	2527.9	512.06	340.000	2008.5	510.27
260.000	503.7	600.66	280.000	6984.1	588.18	280.000	6510.8	587.50	340.000	1561.3	508.67	340.000	998.6	506.59	360.000	7022.1	499.47
280.000	6024.7	586.78	280.000	5523.8	586.04	280.000	5006.3	585.27	360.000	6511.4	497.56	360.000	6019.4	495.75	360.000	5508.6	493.77
280.000	4504.4	584.53	280.000	4008.0	583.74	280.000	3506.9	582.98	360.000	5018.9	491.80	360.000	4510.2	489.71	360.000	4019.1	487.57
280.000	3023.0	582.23	280.000	2512.7	581.42	280.000	2009.2	580.63	360.000	3511.2	485.28	360.000	3005.9	482.91	360.000	2525.0	480.50
280.000	1496.0	579.79	280.000	997.5	578.99	280.000	491.4	578.14	360.000	2018.5	477.84	360.000	1517.3	475.07	380.000	6981.4	469.94
300.000	7001.6	566.76	300.000	6498.0	565.90	300.000	6036.6	565.05	380.000	6505.2	467.52	380.000	6010.6	464.88	380.000	5511.6	462.08
300.000	5514.6	564.10	300.000	5016.3	563.17	300.000	4513.9	562.25	380.000	5001.3	459.04	380.000	4521.7	456.00	380.000	4015.3	452.56
300.000	4007.7	561.28	300.000	3510.6	560.33	300.000	3014.0	559.36	380.000	3519.0	448.92	380.000	3005.0	444.82	380.000	2506.6	440.41
300.000	2498.6	558.33	300.000	1997.4	557.29	300.000	1497.1	556.26	940.000	C001 F	007 7F	x2	= 0.750	C07 00	040.000	C000 F	COC 00
300.000	999.4	549.01	300.000	502.9	541.07	320.000	7034.9	544.07	240.000	6991.0	031.13	240.000	6492.4 5011.2	037.29	240.000	6023.5	030.82
320.000	4000 1	520.20	320.000	0970.9 4405 9	041.07 590 17	320.000	0000.3	540.60 597.00	240.000	0015.0 4015.9	624 02	240.000	2507.9	030.00	240.000	4009.0	030.30
320.000	4998.1	535.39	320.000	4480.3	524 40	320.000	4006.4	037.00 599.15	240.000	4010.3	634.92	240.000	3007.2	034.30	240.000	3003.9	633.91
320.000	0019 C	500.74	320.000	2909.0	520.40	320.000	2011.0	500.04	240.000	2000.1	000.40	240.000	400.0	002.00	240.000	1000.2	032.30
240.000	2013.0	510 55	240.000	1490.2	518 07	240.000	1010.3 5000 1	516 59	240.000	6596.9	617 22	240.000	499.0	616 70	260.000	7024.5	616 91
240.000	5500.2	515.00	240.000	4091 2	519.07	240.000	4508 G	511.05	240.000	5019.2	615.65	200.000	4510.9	615 15	200.000	4000.7	614 50
240.000	4004.9	510.00	240.000	2512.0	508.67	240.000	2000.0	506.01	240.000	2/05 2	612.00	200.000	2016 5	612.10	200.000	9510.1	619 77
240.000	9405.9	505.09	240.000	2002.2	502.07	240.000	1510.0	501.20	260.000	2002 5	619 19	200.000	1/20 5	611 59	200.000	2010.1	610.02
360.000	2435.0	102.00	360.000	6407.9	100.24	360.000	6002 5	188.86	260.000	196.3	610.36	200.000	7030 /	597.80	200.000	6475.5	507.00
360.000	5503.6	492.55	360.000	5010.2	490.00	360.000	1/03 0	482.35	260.000	50/2.8	596 37	280.000	5595 5	595 77	280.000	1001 2	595.09
360.000	4007.3	480.03	360,000	3507.7	477 58	360.000	3010.1	402.00	260.000	4502.1	594 34	280.000	4010 5	593.64	280.000	3511.3	592.02
360,000	2482.6	472.10	360,000	1975 7	469 15	360,000	1523.6	466.34	280,000	3001.9	592.24	280,000	2501.0	591 51	280,000	1991.8	590.76
380,000	7003.2	462.28	380,000	6476.8	459.36	380,000	5986 7	456 50	280,000	1499.8	590.03	280,000	997.9	589 24	280,000	494.5	588 49
380,000	5481 2	453 35	380,000	5004.6	450 19	380,000	4463 2	446.33	280,000	7005.5	576.66	300,000	6473 1	575 78	300.000	5950 5	574 92
380,000	4000 0	442.76	380,000	3498.2	438 53	380,000	3007.8	433.95	280,000	5473 2	574 11	300.000	4997.8	573 31	300.000	4467.0	572.38
380,000	2496 5	428 54	000.000	0100.2	100100	000.000	0001.0	100.00	300 000	4013 5	571.62	300 000	3483.6	570.68	300.000	2995.9	569 79
			xo	= 0.500					300.000	2510.2	568.90	300.000	2007.5	568.00	300.000	1493.0	567.04
240.000	6981.0	632.99	240.000	6486.1	632.50	240.000	5981.2	631.99	300.000	1002.4	566.11	300.000	505.7	565.16	320.000	7065.7	554.85
240.000	5486.4	631.50	240.000	4983.6	630.99	240.000	4467.2	630.47	300.000	6488.4	553.71	320.000	6015.9	552.74	320.000	5508.2	551.69
240.000	3982.7	629.98	240.000	3446.0	629.43	240.000	2976.4	628.95	300.000	4997.8	550.60	320.000	4515.1	549.56	320.000	3986.7	548.41
240.000	2468.8	628.43	240.000	1962.6	627.92	240.000	1472.5	627.41	320.000	3510.6	547.30	320.000	2990.8	546.14	320.000	2503.8	545.03
240.000	989.5	626.90	240.000	468.1	626.35	260.000	6987.3	613.20	320.000	2006.0	543.82	320.000	1506.1	542.64	320.000	1008.4	541.40
260.000	6531.1	612.67	260.000	6023.0	612.08	260.000	5517.9	611.47	340.000	7019.3	531.33	340.000	6496.3	529.99	340.000	5973.6	528.61
260.000	5026.4	610.89	260.000	4508.9	610.27	260.000	3991.1	609.66	340.000	5515.9	527.37	340.000	4990.6	525.87	340.000	4496.4	524.53
260.000	3514.0	609.08	260.000	2993.6	608.43	260.000	2507.6	607.84	340.000	4004.8	523.11	340.000	3499.6	521.61	340.000	3005.7	520.07
260.000	2010.9	607.22	260.000	1508.9	606.59	260.000	1011.2	605.96	340.000	2500.9	518.54	340.000	2020.5	516.97	340.000	1505.9	515.25
260.000	503.9	605.31	280.000	6953.9	592.89	280.000	6528.5	592.30	340.000	1008.5	513.55	360.000	7073.3	505.68	360.000	6484.4	503.73
280.000	5993.3	591.55	280.000	5479.3	590.82	280.000	5025.7	590.15	360.000	5964.2	501.91	360.000	5499.8	500.18	360.000	4948.8	498.12
280.000	4508.3	589.40	280.000	4012.8	588.67	280.000	3532.6	587.97	360.000	4443.6	496.16	360.000	3977.2	494.26	360.000	3489.3	492.24
280.000	3016.2	587.20	280.000	2510.6	586.43	280.000	1990.8	585.62	360.000	2961.3	489.97	360.000	2496.0	487.85	360.000	1976.3	485.36
280.000	1515.6	584.89	280.000	997.4	584.09	280.000	514.1	583.32	360.000	1517.1	483.05	380.000	6967.5	476.48	380.000	6475.4	474.16
300.000	7003.6	571.85	300.000	6511.5	571.01	300.000	5960.3	570.04	380.000	5998.4	471.80	380.000	5504.5	469.24	380.000	4994.0	466.44
300.000	5472.7	569.19	300.000	5026.1	568.40	300.000	4453.4	567.40	380.000	4476.8	463.44	380.000	4022.0	460.64	380.000	3482.8	457.09
300.000	3988.4	566.54	300.000	3476.1	565.59	300.000	3011.4	564.72	380.000	3014.5	453.77	380.000	2519.3	449.94	380.000	1992.3	445.43
300.000	2513.9	563.78	300.000	1992.8	562.77	300.000	1509.8	561.83									

as shown in deviation plots of Figure 14. The present experimental data show better agreement with the LJ model, within  $\pm 0.12\%$ . Deviations from the MW model increase at higher temperatures by a maximum of -0.56% at 380 K.

**Ternary System Propane** (1) + n-Butane (2) + **Isobutane** (3). In Figure 15, the present measured PVTx data for the ternary system propane (1) + n-butane (2) + isobutane (3) are plotted. There are no PVTx data for the ternary system, other than two data points in the gas phase by Luo and Miller.<sup>11</sup>

The relative density deviations of the experimental data for the ternary system from the LJ and the MW models are illustrated in Figure 16. Although there are certain systematic deviation by up to -0.8% as the temperature rises to 380 K, it is recognized that most of the data are satisfactorily reproduced within  $\pm 0.3\%$ . The agreement with the data and the MW model is considered to be good despite the fact that it was developed without any input data for the ternary system. The performance of the LJ and the MW models appears to be almost equivalent, but the internal dispersion of the data is smaller for the LJ model. Comparing the saturated liquid densities as shown in Figure 17, the experimental data are reproduced by the LJ and the MW model within  $\pm 0.3\%$  below 340 K, but there are slightly larger systematic deviation by about  $\pm 0.4\%$  found at higher temperatures.

## **Liquid-Density Equation**

**Functional Form and Mixing Rule.** On the basis of the *PVTx* property data obtained in the present study, a mixture thermodynamic model for the liquid phase of the binary and ternary system composed of propane (1), *n*-butane (2), and isobutane (3) was develped. For the pure components, modified Tait equations of state (EoS) (so-called Sato EoS) were developed by us.<sup>9</sup> A simple mixing rule with binary interaction parameters applied to the EoS is proposed here.

The basic function of the EoS for mixtures is expressed with three temperature-dependent parameters,  $A_{\rm m}$ ,  $C_{\rm m}$ ,

Table 4.	Experimental Lic	uid Phase PVT	r Data for the	<b>Ternary System</b>	Propage $(1) +$	n-Butane (2) +	Isobutane (3)
I GOIO II	Lapor montul Lit	and I made I / I	v Dutu for the	rormary System	I I Opunio (I)	I Davano (1)	ibooutune (0)

Т	Р	ρ	T	Р	ρ	Т	Р	ρ	Т	Р	ρ	Т	Р	ρ	Т	Р	ρ
K	kPa	$\overline{kg{\boldsymbol{\cdot}}m^{-3}}$	K	kPa	$kg \cdot m^{-3}$	K	kPa	$kg \cdot m^{-3}$	K	kPa	$kg \cdot m^{-3}$	K	kPa	kg•m <sup>-3</sup>	K	kPa	$\overline{kg{\boldsymbol{\cdot}}m^{-3}}$
			$(x_1, x_2) =$	= (0.200.	0.600)							$(x_1, x_2) =$	= (0.340.	0.330)			
240.000	6983.2	628.52	240.000	6523.4	628.06	240.000	6030.7	627.57	300.000	3950.3	547.17	300.000	3482.4	546.23	300.000	3023.2	545.27
240.000	5507.0	627.05	240.000	5013.9	626.56	240.000	4526.1	626.06	300.000	2443.3	544.02	300.000	1952.5	542.95	300.000	1504.5	541.95
240.000	4018.7	625.56	240.000	3535.2	625.05	240.000	3023.1	624.53	300.000	1019.8	540.85	300.000	538.4	539.75	320.000	7000.7	529.19
240.000	2511.9	623.99	240.000	1983.6	623.45	240.000	1509.1	622.96	320.000	6531.1	528.06	320.000	5939.9	526.61	320.000	5435.5	525.35
240.000	1005.8	622.43	240.000	497.3	621.89	260.000	7034.3	608.44	320.000	4926.5	524.04	320.000	4463.0	522.83	320.000	3970.2	521.51
260.000	6514.4	607.85	260.000	6021.4	607.28	260.000	5515.7	606.69	320.000	3499.4	520.22	320.000	2973.2	518.74	320.000	2441.7	517.21
260.000	5034.2	606.11	260.000	4516.2	605.50	260.000	4021.5	604.90	320.000	1972.6	515.82	320.000	1502.5	514.39	320.000	1007.9	512.84
260.000	3511.7	604.29	260.000	3020.3	603.68	260.000	2502.2	603.04	340.000	7080.6	503.22	340.000	6464.2	501.25	340.000	5950.2	499.56
260.000	1994.4	602.41	260.000	1503.4	601.78	260.000	1010.6	601.15	340.000	5450.2	497.86	340.000	4991.2	496.23	340.000	4489.6	494.42
260.000	507.6	600.50	280.000	7006.6	587.83	280.000	6511.5	587.13	340.000	3985.1	492.53	340.000	3432.2	490.38	340.000	3000.1	488.64
280.000	6002.3	586.41	280.000	5517.8	585.71	280.000	5003.6	584.97	340.000	2508.9	486.57	340.000	2007.6	484.38	340.000	1481.6	481.96
280.000	4514.7	584.25	280.000	3980.5	583.46	280.000	3498.1	582.73	360.000	6995.7	473.74	360.000	6510.1	471.55	360.000	6015.5	469.27
280.000	2997.9	581.96	280.000	2481.0	581.17	280.000	1988.8	580.40	360.000	5513.8	466.82	360.000	5013.2	464.24	360.000	4514.2	461.53
280.000	1502.9	579.64	280.000	992.8	578.83	280.000	512.8	578.05	360.000	4025.5	458.72	360.000	3509.0	455.54	360.000	3014.4	452.30
300.000	7057.6	566.21	300.000	6489.7	565.31	300.000	5993.0	564.33	360.000	2513.4	448.73	380.000	7007.4	438.68	380.000	6507.8	435.17
300.000	2009.0	563.50	300.000	2510.7	562.58	300.000	4518.8	561.78	380.000	6025.5	431.52	380.000	2000.9	427.32	380.000	5029.3	423.11
200.000	4020.0	557.04	200.000	3012.7	556 06	200.000	2991.7	000.01	380.000	4498.4	417.00	380.000	4024.3	412.94	380.000	3991.0	406.31
200.000	2007.0	554 00	220,000	2002.0	542.97	220,000	6400.9	549 16	380.000	3042.0	396.97	(	- (0 600	0.200)			
320,000	1009.5 6025.4	541 11	320.000	5529.0	539.99	320.000	5024 5	538.84	240 000	7061.2	604.03	$(x_1, x_2) = 240,000$	6514.7	603 43	240.000	5962.3	602.86
320.000	4515.1	537 65	320,000	3993.8	536 40	320,000	3494.8	535.18	240.000	5423.3	602.28	240.000	4979.3	601 73	240.000	4509.3	601.26
320,000	2991 7	533 93	320,000	2505.3	532.69	320,000	1997 7	531 37	240 000	3982.4	600.64	240 000	3519.7	600.08	240 000	2985.5	599 50
320.000	1500.6	530.04	320.000	1003.0	528.68	340.000	7062.3	518.79	240.000	2469.6	598.85	240.000	1989.6	598.34	240.000	1503.3	597.73
340.000	6507.2	517.22	340.000	6005.9	515.77	340.000	5513.6	514.31	240.000	970.0	597.10	240.000	482.9	596.60	240.000	154.1	596.18
340.000	5000.3	512.74	340.000	4511.6	511.21	340.000	3997.4	509.56	260.000	7087.8	582.45	260.000	6455.0	581.62	260.000	5994.9	581.01
340.000	3493.5	507.88	340.000	2977.2	506.10	340.000	2500.3	504.41	260.000	5480.6	580.32	260.000	4938.0	579.60	260.000	4490.3	578.98
340.000	2003.1	502.58	340.000	1509.2	500.72	360.000	7000.9	491.15	260.000	4028.9	578.35	260.000	3469.7	577.57	260.000	2999.4	576.91
360.000	6497.8	489.21	360.000	6014.2	487.29	360.000	5490.1	485.13	260.000	2474.4	576.16	260.000	1985.0	575.46	260.000	1477.1	574.72
360.000	5012.0	483.08	360.000	4503.7	480.80	360.000	3993.4	478.40	260.000	1019.2	574.05	260.000	500.0	573.28	280.000	7065.6	560.33
360.000	3505.4	475.99	360.000	2995.9	473.35	360.000	2503.6	470.65	280.000	6432.5	559.29	280.000	5989.6	558.56	280.000	5474.9	557.71
360.000	2002.9	467.72	380.000	7037.5	459.56	380.000	6474.7	456.31	280.000	5000.1	556.91	280.000	4516.4	556.08	280.000	4018.6	555.22
380.000	5967.1	453.33	380.000	5490.2	450.28	380.000	4978.4	446.88	280.000	3519.6	554.35	280.000	3011.2	553.45	280.000	2491.3	552.51
380.000	4532.8	443.64	380.000	4019.3	439.62	380.000	3514.2	435.32	280.000	2021.0	551.65	280.000	1515.2	550.71	280.000	1001.1	549.74
380.000	3018.0	430.51	380.000	2511.3	425.00				280.000	509.3	548.79	300.000	6988.1	536.64	300.000	6512.1	535.66
940.000	7075 5	617 91	$(x_1, x_2) =$	= (0.340,	(0.330) (16 70	940.000	5006 2	616 09	200.000	4500.2	004.01 591.94	300.000	0491.9 4019.7	520.91	200.000	0017.8 9519 5	520.07
240.000	7075.5	615 56	240.000	4061 7	614.04	240.000	1495 4	614 44	200.000	4009.0	597.96	200.000	4012.7	526 60	200.000	1002.0	525.07
240.000	30/01	613.87	240.000	3/81.7	613 35	240.000	2017 G	612.85	300.000	1500 3	524.91	300.000	1004.8	522 02	320.000	6080 1	511.05
240.000	2511.3	612.32	240.000	2001.4	611 77	240.000	1537.4	611 25	320,000	6499.2	509 75	320,000	6017.5	508 43	320,000	5509.4	506.99
240 000	1020.6	610.66	240 000	488.4	610.05	260 000	70194	596 73	320,000	4978.4	505.45	320,000	4502.1	504.02	320,000	4019.6	502.54
260.000	6464.9	596.04	260.000	5976.9	595.44	260.000	5496.6	594.86	320.000	3509.9	500.92	320.000	3005.8	499.28	320.000	2509.3	497.61
260.000	4983.9	594.21	260.000	4524.0	593.61	260.000	3996.0	592.94	320.000	1998.1	495.84	320.000	1521.7	494.11	340.000	7017.5	482.83
260.000	3484.6	592.27	260.000	3021.3	591.67	260.000	2526.5	591.01	340.000	6487.2	480.88	340.000	6023.3	479.09	340.000	5508.8	477.01
260.000	1999.3	590.30	260.000	1537.5	589.66	260.000	1002.5	588.93	340.000	5025.7	475.00	340.000	4516.4	472.78	340.000	4004.6	470.46
260.000	497.8	588.25	280.000	6993.6	575.44	280.000	6478.7	574.66	340.000	3467.9	467.89	340.000	2985.2	465.45	340.000	2506.6	462.92
280.000	5967.2	573.88	280.000	5517.7	573.18	280.000	4982.6	572.35	340.000	1972.5	459.90	360.000	6924.7	448.88	360.000	6421.8	445.88
280.000	4481.0	571.55	280.000	4003.6	570.79	280.000	3509.8	569.99	360.000	6007.7	443.33	360.000	4933.6	436.08	360.000	4459.8	432.50
280.000	2986.1	569.13	280.000	2615.2	568.51	280.000	1990.7	567.47	360.000	3925.9	428.10	360.000	3460.8	423.85	360.000	2975.8	418.89
280.000	1513.6	566.66	280.000	1014.3	565.80	280.000	504.1	564.91	380.000	7015.4	409.01	380.000	6484.9	403.74	380.000	6008.8	398.44
300.000	7076.5	553.30	300.000	6514.0	552.24	300.000	5966.4	551.19	380.000	5518.3	392.25	380.000	5010.0	384.67	380.000	4508.9	375.46
300.000	5489.4	550.25	300.000	4947.7	549.19	300.000	4420.2	548.13	380.000	4020.1	363.44						

and  $D_{\rm m}$ , as follows.

$$\rho_{\rm r,m} = \frac{(P_{\rm r,m} + A_{\rm m}(T_{\rm r,m}))^{C_{\rm m}(T_{\rm r,m})}}{D_{\rm m}(T_{\rm r,m})} \tag{5}$$

where  $P_{\rm r,m} = P/P_{\rm C,m}$ ,  $T_{\rm r,m} = T/T_{\rm C,m}$  and  $\rho_{\rm r,m} = \rho/\rho_{\rm C,m}$  are dimensionless pressure, density, and temperature for the mixture. For a mixture of N components, these critical parameters are expressed as

$$\frac{1}{P_{\rm C,m}} = \sum_{i}^{N} \sum_{i}^{N} \frac{x_i}{P_{\rm C,i}}$$
(6)

$$T_{\rm C,m} = \sum_{i}^{N} \sum_{j}^{N} x_i x_j T_{\rm C,ij} \tag{7}$$

$$\rho_{\rm C,m} = \sum_{i}^{N} \sum_{j}^{N} x_i x_j \rho_{\rm C,ij} \tag{8}$$

When i = j,  $T_{C,ii}$  and  $\rho_{C,ii}$  denote the critical temperature and density for component *i*, respectively. Pseudocritical parameters  $T_{C,ij}$  and  $\rho_{C,ij}$   $(i \neq j)$  are given by

$$T_{\mathrm{C},ij} = \sqrt{T_{\mathrm{C},ii}T_{\mathrm{C},ij}} \tag{9}$$

$$\rho_{\mathrm{C},ij} = \left[\frac{\rho_{\mathrm{C},ii}^{-1/3} + \rho_{\mathrm{C},ij}^{-1/3}}{2}\right]^{-3} \tag{10}$$

Parameters  $A_{\rm m}, C_{\rm m}$ , and  $D_{\rm m}$  in eq 5 are given with empirical expressions

$$A_{\rm m} = \sum_{k=0}^{2} a_{{\rm m},k} T_{\rm r}^k \tag{11}$$

$$C_{\rm m} = \sum_{k=0}^{2} c_{{\rm m},k} T_{\rm r}^{k} \tag{12}$$

$$D_{\rm m} = \sum_{k=0}^{2} d_{{\rm m},k} T_{\rm r}^{k}$$
(13)

We have applied an original mixing rule for these empirical

Table 5.	<b>Bubble-Point Pressures and Saturated-I</b>	iquid Densities of the	e Binary and Ternar	y Systems Composed of
Propane	(1), <i>n</i> -Butane (2), and Isobutane (3)	-		

T	Р	ρ	T	Р	ρ	T	Р	ρ
K	kPa	$\overline{\mathrm{kg}}\cdot\mathrm{m}^{-3}$	K	kPa	$\overline{\mathrm{kg}}\cdot\mathrm{m}^{-3}$	K	kPa	$\overline{\mathrm{kg}}\cdot\mathrm{m}^{-3}$
(x-	$(1, x_2) = (0.250, 0.7)$	750)	$(x_1, x_2)$	$(2_2) = (0.500, 0.500)$	500)	$(x_1, x_2)$	$(c_2) = (0.750, 0.5)$	250)
	-, - , ,		240.000	84.5	606.37	240.000	115.5	589.36
260.000	120.8	600.21	260.000	181.8	584.58	260.000	245.0	566.05
280.000	238.2	577.86	280.000	347.0	560.91	280.000	461.9	541.14
300.000	425.0	554.07	300.000	604.0	535.40	300.000	793.1	514.20
320.000	706.9	528.42	320.000	978.3	507.52	320.000	1270.5	483.71
340.000	1107.1	499.52	340.000	1494.8	475.99	340.000	1928.1	447.59
360.000	1651.1	466.36				360.000	2802.7	398.44
380.000	2372.7	424.79	380.000	3100.2	387.07			
	$(x_1, x_2) = (0.250, 0)$	D)	$(x_1$	$(x_2) = (0.499)$	0)	$(x_1, 0)$	$(x_1, x_2) = (0.750)$	), 0)
240.000	67.2	607.81				240.000	119.8	583.82
260.000	147.0	585.98	250.000	136.0	584.44	260.000	250.0	560.96
280.000	281.4	562.49	280.000	383.3	549.15	280.000	473.2	535.32
300.000	518.6	537.31	300.000	665.2	522.96	300.000	820.8	507.33
320.000	862.1	509.12	320.000	1077.7	491.75	320.000	1322.1	475.51
340.000	1338.8	478.17	340.000	1641.5	457.03	340.000	2011.3	436.07
360.000	1968.9	440.78	360.000	2403.0	416.83	360.000	2910.6	388.35
380.000	2798.3	390.71	380.000	3362.9	346.90			
	$(x_1, x_2) = (0, 0.250)$	0)	$(x_1$	$(x_2) = (0, 0.50)$	0)	$(x_1)$	$(x_2) = (0, 0.75)$	0)
240.000	59.3	621.33	240.000	32.9	625.96	240.000	26.1	630.89
260.000	100.9	600.04	260.000	78.4	604.76	260.000	68.6	609.84
280.000	190.7	577.61	280.000	166.0	582.79	280.000	148.9	588.07
300.000	360.6	553.87	300.000	313.6	559.44	300.000	284.8	564.78
320.000	602.2	527.89	320.000	543.5	534.14	320.000	498.8	540.17
340.000	963.7	499.35	340.000	880.6	506.18	340.000	814.9	512.91
			360.000	1351.0	474.18	360.000	1258.4	481.73
380.000	2136.4	424.73	380.000	1985.6	435.21	380.000	1955.8	445.14
(x)	$(1, x_2) = (0.200, 0.6)$	500)	$(x_1, x_2)$	$(2_2) = (0.340, 0.3)$	330)	$(x_1, x_2)$	$(c_2) = (0.600, 0.5)$	200)
240.000	52.2	621.44	240.000	71.0	609.76	240.000	101.3	596.11
260.000	116.3	599.87	260.000	153.6	587.73	260.000	214.9	572.86
280.000	231.3	577.64	280.000	299.5	564.58	280.000	406.8	548.59
300.000	415.6	553.78	300.000	527.2	539.77	300.000	703.1	522.16
320.000	694.1	527.78	320.000	864.4	512.41	320.000	1131.6	492.73
340.000	1090.0	499.07	340.000	1336.9	481.32	340.000	1726.2	458.47
360.000	1631.7	465.41	360.000	1976.4	444.57	360.000	2518.4	414.22
380.000	2349.7	423.25	380.000	2810.3	394.93	380.000	3545.7	351.78

Table 6.	Numerical	<b>Constants for</b>	Equations	11 - 13
----------	-----------	----------------------	-----------	---------

substance	propane (1)	n-butane (2)	isobutane (3)
ij	11	22	33
$T_{\rm C}/{ m K}$	369.811	425.125	407.761
P <sub>C</sub> /kPa	4248	3796	3631
$ ho_{ m C}/ m kg\cdot m^{-3}$	222	227.84	233
$a_{ii,0}$	$51.528\ 2$	39.031 3	40.081 1
$a_{ii,1}$	-90.2975	-63.6158	-66.0010
$a_{ii,2}$	37.800 7	$23.567\ 2$	24.9675
C <sub>ij,0</sub>	$0.102\ 351$	$0.072\ 934$	$0.077\ 124$
$c_{ij,1}$	$-0.077\ 820$	$-0.075\ 024$	$-0.078\ 421$
Cii.2	$0.087\ 578$	$0.109\ 702$	$0.113\ 271$
$d_{ii,0}$	$0.404\ 740$	$0.352\ 283$	$0.369\ 925$
$d_{ii,1}$	0	$-0.000\ 028$	$-0.000\ 241$
$d_{ii,2}$	$0.160\ 565$	$0.208\ 062$	$0.213\ 270$

constants  $a_{m,k}$ ,  $c_{m,k}$ , and  $d_{m,k}$  that are expressed as follows:

$$a_{m,k} = \sum_{i=1,j=1}^{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N} x_i x_j a_{ij,k}$$
(14)

$$c_{\mathrm{m},k} = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N} x_i x_j c_{ij,k}$$
(15)

$$d_{\mathrm{m},k} = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N} x_i x_j d_{ij,k}$$
(16)

In a similar manner for the critical parameters,  $a_{ii}$ ,  $c_{ii}$ , and  $d_{ii}$  are equivalent to the empirical parameters for pure component *i*. Constants  $a_0-a_2$ ,  $c_0-c_2$ , and  $d_0-d_2$  were determined by an optimum fitting procedure to the experimental data for the pure components and are presented in the literature.<sup>9</sup> Numerical values for these constants are tabulated in Table 1.

Table 7. Statistical Comparison of the Available Mixture Model with the Present Liquid-Phase PVTx Data for the Binary and Ternary Systems of Propane (1), *n*-Butane (2), and Isobutane (3)

propane $(1) + n$ -butane $(2) + i$ sobutane $(3)$					
n-butane (2) + isobutane (3)					
propane $(1)$ + isobutane $(3)$					
propane $(1) + n$ -butane $(2)$					
LJ model	AAD	0.066	0.153	0.070	0.113
	BIAS	0.039	0.098	0.024	0.087
	STD	0.077	0.165	0.086	0.107
	RMS	0.087	0.192	0.089	0.138
MW model	AAD	0.053	0.123	0.050	0.111
	BIAS	0.036	0.062	0.010	0.097
	STD	0.056	0.151	0.067	0.100
	RMS	0.067	0.163	0.068	0.139
this work	AAD	0.044	0.091	0.022	0.059
	BIAS	-0.019	-0.007	-0.010	0.024
	STD	0.048	0.125	0.027	0.066
	RMS	0.052	0.125	0.029	0.070

When  $i \neq j$ ,  $a_{ij}$ ,  $c_{ij}$ , and  $d_{ij}$  denote the cross parameters and are given in terms of  $k_{ij}$ , which is the binary interaction parameter.

$$a_{ij,k} = (1 - k_{ij})\sqrt{a_{ii,k}a_{jj,k}}$$
(17)

$$c_{ij,k} = (1 - k_{ij}) \sqrt{c_{ii,k} c_{jj,k}}$$
 (18)

$$d_{ij,k} = (1 - k_{ij}) \sqrt{d_{ii,k}} d_{jj,k}$$
(19)



**Figure 5.** Composition distribution of available *PVTx* data (with numbers of measurements) for the binary and ternary systems of propane (1), *n*-butane (2), and isobutane (3):  $\bigcirc$ , this work (1167); ×, Duarte-Garza and Magee<sup>16</sup> (341);  $\bullet$ , Holcomb et al.<sup>12</sup> (129); +, Kahre<sup>14</sup> (55);  $\blacktriangle$ , Luo and Miller<sup>11</sup> (3);  $\blacklozenge$ , Parrish<sup>13</sup> (513);  $\blacksquare$ , Thompson and Miller<sup>15</sup> (8).



**Figure 6.** *PVTx* data distribution for the binary system propane (1) + *n*-butane (2), this work:  $\bigcirc$ ,  $x_1 = 0.250$ ;  $\triangle$ ,  $x_1 = 0.500$ ;  $\square$ ,  $x_1 = 0.750$ ;  $\bullet$ , Holcomb et al.;<sup>12</sup> +, Kahre;<sup>14</sup>  $\bullet$ , Parrish;<sup>13</sup>  $\blacksquare$ , Thompson and Miller;<sup>15</sup> calculated vapor-pressure curves, -, propane (1)<sup>1</sup> and -, *n*-butane (2).<sup>2</sup>

Note that when the parameter has a negative value, say,  $a_{ii,k}$ ,  $a_{ij,k} < 0$ , the parameter for the mixture also becomes negative. Namely,

$$a_{ij,k} = -(1 - k_{ij})\sqrt{a_{ii,k}a_{jj,k}} \qquad (a_{ii,k}, a_{jj,k} < 0) \quad (20)$$

$$c_{ij,k} = -(1 - k_{ij})\sqrt{c_{ii,k}c_{jj,k}} \qquad (c_{ii,k}, c_{jj,k} < 0) \qquad (21)$$

$$d_{ij,k} = -(1 - k_{ij})\sqrt{d_{ii,k}d_{jj,k}} \qquad (d_{ii,k}, d_{jj,k} < 0) \quad (22)$$

Binary interaction parameters  $k_{ij}$  were determined by fitting the EoS (eq 5) to the liquid-density data for the three binary systems. The parameters thus optimized are given as

$$k_{12} = 0.015150 \tag{23}$$

$$k_{13} = 0.013764$$
 (24)

$$k_{23} = -0.00100 \tag{25}$$

No temperature dependence of the interaction parameters was considered.

*Comparison with Experimental Data*. Relative density deviations of available experimental liquid density data from those calculated by eq 5 are illustrated in Figures 18 to 21.



**Figure 7.** Relative density deviation of the liquid-phase *PVTx* data for the binary system propane (1) + n-butane (2) from the Lemmon and Jacobsen model<sup>5</sup> (top) and the Miyamoto and Watanabe model<sup>6</sup> (bottom), this work:  $\bigcirc, x_1 = 0.250; \triangle, x_1 = 0.500; \square, x_1 = 0.750; \bullet$ , Holcomb et al.;<sup>12</sup> +, Kahre;<sup>14</sup>  $\blacklozenge$ , Parrish;<sup>13</sup>  $\blacksquare$ , Thompson and Miller.<sup>15</sup>



**Figure 8.** Relative deviation of the saturated-liquid density of the binary system propane (1) + *n*-butane (2) from the Lemmon and Jacobsen model<sup>5</sup> (top) and the Miyamoto and Watanabe model<sup>6</sup> (bottom), this work:  $\bigcirc, x_1 = 0.250; \triangle, x_1 = 0.500; \square, x_1 = 0.750; \blacklozenge$ , Hiza et al.,<sup>20</sup>  $\blacklozenge$ , Holcomb et al.;<sup>12</sup> \*, Nysewander et al.;<sup>21</sup> -, Kay.<sup>22</sup>

As is seen in Figure 18, the present data for the binary system propane (1) + n-butane (2) were excellently represented within  $\pm 0.1\%$  at temperatures lower than 340 K.



**Figure 9.** *PVTx* data distribution for the binary system propane (1) + isobutane (3), this work:  $\bigcirc$ ,  $x_1 = 0.250$ ;  $\triangle$ ,  $x_1 = 0.499$ ;  $\square$ ,  $x_1 = 0.750$ ;  $\times$ , Duarte-Garza and Magee;<sup>16</sup> +, Kahre;<sup>14</sup>  $\blacksquare$ , Thompson et al.;<sup>15</sup> calculated vapor-pressure curves, --, propane (1)<sup>1</sup> and ---, isobutane (3).<sup>3</sup>



**Figure 10.** Relative density deviation of the liquid-phase *PVTx* data for the binary system propane (1) + isobutane (3) from the Lemmon and Jacobsen model<sup>5</sup> (top) and the Miyamoto and Watanabe model<sup>6</sup> (bottom), this work:  $\bigcirc, x_1 = 0.250; \triangle, x_1 = 0.499;$  $\square, x_1 = 0.750; \times$ , Duarte-Garza and Magee;<sup>16</sup> +, Kahre;<sup>14</sup> **■**, Thompson et al.<sup>15</sup>

In that temperature range, those by Holcomb et al.<sup>12</sup> and Parrish<sup>13</sup> were also reproduced within ±0.2%, whereas those by Kahre<sup>14</sup> deviate by 0.45% at the maximum. Although the pressure range of the data by Holcomb et al. exceeds that of the present work, up to 7 MPa, their data are well represented. However, deviations of the data spread at higher temperatures. They become ±0.5% at 360 K and ±1.0% at 380 K, but these temperatures are higher than the expected temperature limit of the present EoS, being 0.9T<sub>C</sub>.

Similar results were observed in the comparison of the present EoS with the data for the binary system propane (1) + isobutane (3). The scatter of the present data is within  $\pm 0.2\%$  where  $T \leq 320$  K. It increases to  $\pm 0.4\%$  at 340 K and exceeds 1.5% at 380 K. The higher deviations are due to the lower critical temperature of isobutane (3). However, the data by Duarte-Garza and Magee<sup>16</sup> are well repre-



**Figure 11.** Relative deviation of the saturated-liquid density of the binary system propane (1) + isobutane (3) from the Lemmon and Jacobsen model<sup>5</sup> (top) and the Miyamoto and Watanabe model<sup>6</sup> (bottom), this work:  $\bigcirc, x_1 = 0.250$ ;  $\triangle, x_1 = 0.499$ ;  $\square, x_1 = 0.750$ ;  $\blacklozenge$ , Hiza et al.<sup>20</sup>



**Figure 12.** *PVTx* data distribution for the binary system *n*-butane (2) + isobutane (3), this work:  $\triangle$ ,  $x_2 = 0.500$ ;  $\Box$ ,  $x_2 = 0.751$ ; +, Kahre;<sup>14</sup> calculated vapor-pressure curves, --, *n*-butane (2);<sup>2</sup> ---, isobutane (3).<sup>3</sup>

sented to within  $\pm 0.2\%$  except in the higher temperature range, so the present EoS is expected to have a reporducibility of  $\pm 0.2\%$  within its effective temperature range.

Because the experimental temperature range is lower than the critical temperatures of *n*-butane (2) and isobutane (3), the present EoS can successfully represent the present data for the binary system *n*-butane (2) + isobutane (3) within  $\pm 0.1\%$  except at 380 K as shown in Figure 20. The measurements by Kahre<sup>14</sup> also agree with the baseline within  $\pm 0.1\%$ .

From Figure 21, the data for the ternary system propane (1) + n-butane (2) + isobutane (3) were also well reproduced within  $\pm 0.16\%$  except for some data at 380 K for the propane-rich composition  $(x_1, x_2 = 0.600, 0.200)$ . Because the ternary data could be successfully predicted despite the fact that these data were not used as input data, we can confirm the ability of the present mixing rule. It can be concluded, therefore, that the present EoS can reproduce the liquid-phase PVTx properties well over the entire composition range for the ternary system propane



**Figure 13.** Relative density deviation of the present liquid *PVT* data for the binary system *n*-butane (2) + isobutane (3) from the Lemmon and Jacobsen model<sup>5</sup> (top) and the Miyamoto and Watanabe model<sup>6</sup> (bottom), this work:  $\triangle$ ,  $x_2 = 0.500$ ;  $\Box$ ,  $x_2 = 0.751$ ; +, Kahre.<sup>14</sup>



**Figure 14.** Relative deviation of the saturated-liquid density of the binary system *n*-butane (2) + isobutane (3) from the Lemmon and Jacobsen model<sup>5</sup> (top) and the Miyamoto and Watanabe model<sup>6</sup> (bottom), this work:  $\triangle$ ,  $x_1 = 0.500$ ;  $\Box$ ,  $x_1 = 0.751$ ; \*, Hiza et al.<sup>20</sup>

(1) + n-butane (2) + isobutane (3), including the binary system and the pure components.

**Comparison with the Available Models.** The present model for the liquid-phase PVTx properties for the binary and ternary systems of propane (1), *n*-butane (2), and isobutane (3) was compared with the available two models of Lemmon and Jacobsen<sup>5</sup> (LJ) and Miyamoto and Wa-



**Figure 15.** *PVTx* data distribution for the ternary system propane (1) + *n*-butane (2) + isobutane (3), this work:  $\bigcirc$ ,  $(x_1, x_2) = (0.200, 0.600)$ ;  $\triangle$ ,  $(x_1, x_2) = (0.330, 0.340)$ ;  $\Box$ ,  $(x_1, x_2) = (0.600, 0.200)$ ; calculated vapor-pressure curves, -, propane (1),<sup>1</sup> –, *n*-butane (2),<sup>2</sup> and - - -, isobutane (3).<sup>3</sup>



**Figure 16.** Relative density deviation of the present liquid *PVT* data for the ternary system propane (1) + n-butane (2) + i sobutane (3) from the Lemmon and Jacobsen model<sup>5</sup> (top) and the Miyamoto and Watanabe model<sup>6</sup> (bottom), this work:  $\bigcirc$ ,  $(x_1, x_2) = (0.200, 0.600)$ ;  $\triangle$ ,  $(x_1, x_2) = (0.330, 0.340)$ ;  $\Box$ ,  $(x_1, x_2) = (0.600, 0.200)$ .

tanabe<sup>6</sup> (MW) by calculating the statistical indicators with the present measured data tabulated in Table 2. Only the limited data measured at temperatures lower than  $0.9T_{\rm C}$  were used for the calculation. The definition of the pseudo-critical temperature given in eq 7 was used for the calculation of the reduced temperature.

First, the present model shows the best performance of AAD, BIAS, STD, and RMS because binary interaction parameters  $k_{12}$ ,  $k_{13}$ , and  $k_{23}$  were determined from the present data. In the comparison of the other two models, the MW model performs slightly better. Because the LJ model employes the generalized mixing rule that can be applied for any mixture system, the respective reproducibility for a certain mixture is not always better than a model that has been fit to the experimental data. It should also be noted that the LJ model was developed under the condition that the EoS by Younglove and Ely<sup>19</sup> are adopted



**Figure 17.** Relative deviation of the saturated-liquid density of the binary system *n*-butane (2) + isobutane (3) from the Lemmon and Jacobsen model<sup>5</sup> (top) and the Miyamoto and Watanabe model<sup>6</sup> (bottom), this work:  $\bigcirc$ ,  $(x_1, x_2) = (0.200, 0.600)$ ;  $\triangle$ ,  $(x_1, x_2) = (0.330, 0.340)$ ;  $\square$ ,  $(x_1, x_2) = (0.600, 0.200)$ .



**Figure 18.** Relative density deviation of the liquid-phase *PVTx* data for the binary system propane (1) + *n*-butane (2) from eq 5, this work:  $\bigcirc$ ,  $x_1 = 0.250$ ;  $\triangle$ ,  $x_1 = 0.500$ ;  $\square$ ,  $x_1 = 0.750$ ;  $\blacksquare$ , Holcomb et al.;<sup>12</sup> +, Kahre;<sup>14</sup>  $\blacklozenge$ , Parrish;<sup>13</sup>  $\blacksquare$ , Thompson and Miller.<sup>15</sup>

as the part for pure components of propane (1), *n*-butane (2), and isobutane (3). The pure-component part is updated for the EoS by Miyamoto and Watanabe<sup>1,2,3</sup> with the latest version of REFPROP. Hence, it is understood that the LJ model is not optimized with pure-fluid EoS by Miyamoto and Watanabe.

Given such conditions for modeling, the LJ model still shows good performance for the present binary and ternary systems. This is probably because the system consists of similar hydrocarbon components and therefore the thermodynamic behavior of the system is close to the predicted behavior calculated by the generalized mixing rule.

**Derived Properties.** Subsequently, the consistency of the EoS developed in the present study was examined by calculating the derived properties including the isothermal compressibility  $\kappa_T$ , isobaric heat capacity  $c_P$ , and speed of sound w. As examples, these properties for the ternary system with the mole fraction  $(x_1, x_2) = (0.6, 0.2)$  were calculated and illustrated in Figures 22 through 24. It



**Figure 19.** Relative density deviation of the liquid-phase *PVTx* data for the binary system propane (1) + *n*-butane (2) from eq 5, this work:  $\bigcirc$ ,  $x_1 = 0.250$ ;  $\triangle$ ,  $x_1 = 0.499$ ;  $\square$ ,  $x_1 = 0.750$ ;  $\times$ , Duarte-Garza and Magee;<sup>16</sup> +, Kahre;<sup>14</sup>  $\blacksquare$ , Thompson et al.<sup>15</sup>



**Figure 20.** Relative density deviation of the liquid-phase PVTx data for the binary system propane (1) + *n*-butane (2) from eq 5, this work:  $\triangle$ ,  $x_2 = 0.500$ ;  $\Box$ ,  $x_2 = 0.751$ ; +, Kahre.<sup>14</sup>



**Figure 21.** Relative density deviation of the liquid-phase *PVTx* data for the binary system propane (1) + *n*-butane (2) from eq 5, this work:  $\bigcirc$ ,  $(x_1, x_2) = (0.200, 0.600)$ ;  $\triangle$ ,  $(x_1, x_2) = (0.330, 0.340)$ ;  $\Box$ ,  $(x_1, x_2) = (0.600, 0.200)$ .

should be noted that the isobaric heat capacities for the saturated liquid were calculated from the MW model.<sup>6</sup>

$$c_P = c_P' - \int_{P_{\rm S}}^{P} T \left( \frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial T^2} \right)_P \,\mathrm{d}P \tag{26}$$

$$w = \sqrt{\frac{c_P}{\rho \alpha_T c_v}} \tag{27}$$

$$\kappa_T = \frac{C}{A+P} \tag{28}$$

As for the EoS for the pure components, reasonable behavior of the property surface was confirmed.<sup>9</sup> However, the present mixing rule is applied for each of the numerical



**Figure 22.** Pressure dependence of the isothermal compressibility for the ternary system propane (1) + n-butane (2) + isobutane (3)  $(x_1, x_2) = (0.6, 0.2)$  derived from eq 5.



**Figure 23.** Pressure dependence of the isobaric heat capacity for the ternary system propane (1) + n-butane (2) + isobutane (3)  $(x_1, x_2) = (0.6, 0.2)$  derived from eq 5.



**Figure 24.** Pressure dependence of the speed of sound for the ternary system propane (1) + n-butane (2) + isobutane  $(3) (x_1, x_2) = (0.6, 0.2)$  derived from eq 5.

constants  $a_{m,0}$  through  $d_{m,2}$ , so it does not necessarily mean that the calculated mixture properties are thermodynamically compatible. Figures 22 through 24 show that thermodynamically sound behavior of these derived properties are clearly observed. Therefore, it can be concluded that the present mixing rule not only satisfactory reproduces the *PVTx* properties over the entire composition range but that it is also thermodynamically consistent.

### Conclusions

In the present study, more than 1000 PVTx properties were obtained for the binary and ternary systems of propane (1), *n*-butane (2), and isobutane (3). The bubblepoint pressures and saturated-liquid densities were also reported. The present measurements contain the first set of *PVTx* properties for the ternary system and also the first wide-range data for the binary system *n*-butane (2) + isobutane (3). The measured data were compared with two available mixture thermodynamic models, the Lemmon and Jacobsen model<sup>5</sup> and the Miyamoto and Watanabe model.<sup>6</sup> As a result of the comparison, it was found that both models represent the present data well within  $\pm 0.2\%$  at moderate temperatures ( $T < 0.9T_{\rm C}$ ) but the deviation spreads at higher temperatures.

On the basis of the present measurements, a simple mixing rule for the modified Tait equation of state (Sato EoS) that was fitted separately to the pure-component data was proposed. By employing binary interaction parameters  $k_{ij}$ , not only the binary *PVTx* properties but also the ternary data were well reproduced. The themodynamically sound behavior of the EoS was also confirmed by calculating the property surfaces of the isothermal compressibility, isobaric heat capacity, and speed of sound.

## Acknowledgment

We are grateful to Dr. Hiroyuki Miyamoto for the calculations with his EoS program and for offering the literature data.

### **Literature Cited**

- Miyamoto, H.; Watanabe, K. A Thermodynamic Property Model for Fluid-Phase Propane. Int. J. Thermophys. 2000, 21, 1045– 1072.
- (2) Miyamoto, H.; Watanabe, K. A Thermodynamic Property Model for Fluid-Phase n-Butane. Int. J. Thermophys. 2001, 22, 459– 475.
- (3) Miyamoto, H.; Watanabe, K. A Thermodynamic Property Model for Fluid-Phase Isobutane. Int. J. Thermophys. 2002, 23, 477– 499.
- (4) Lemmon, E. W.; McLlinden, M. O.; Huber, M. L. REFPROP, Reference Fluid Thermodynamic and Transport Properties; NIST Standard Reference Database 23, version 7.0.; National Institute of Standards and Technology, U.S. Department Commerce: Washington, DC, 2002.
- (5) Lemmon, E. W.; Jacobsen, R. T. A Generalized Model for the Thermodynamic Properties of Mixtures. Int. J. Thermophys. 1999, 20, 825–835.
- (6) Miyamoto, H.; Watanabe, K. Helmholtz-type Equations of State for Hydrocarbon Refrigerant Mixtures of Propane/n-Butane, Propane/Isobutane, n-Butane/Isobutane, and Propane/n-Butane/ Isobutane. Int. J. Thermophys. 2003, 24, 1007-1031.
- (7) Kayukawa, Y.; Hasumoto, M.; Watanabe, K. Rapid Density-Measurement System with Vibrating Tube Densimeter. *Rev. Sci. Instrum.* 2003, 74, 4134–4139.
- (8) Kayukawa, Y.; Hasumoto, M.; Kano, Y.; Watanabe, K. Thermodynamic Property Measurements for Trifluoromethyl Methyl Ether and Pentafluoroethyl Methyl Ether. J. Chem. Eng. Data. 2003, 48, 1141-1151.
- (9) Kayukawa, Y.; Hasumoto, M.; Kano, Y.; Watanabe, K. Liquid-Phase Thermodynamic Properties for Propane (1), n-Butane (2), and Isobutane (3). J. Chem. Eng. Data. 2005. This issue.
- (10) Kayukawa, Y. A Study of Thermodynamic Properties for Novel Refrigerants with Rapid and Precise Density Measurement Technique. Ph.D. Thesis, Keio University, Yokohama, Japan, 2002.
- (11) Luo, C. C.; Miller, R. C. Densities and Dielectric Constants for Some LPG Components and Mixtures at Cryogenic and Standard Temperatures. *Cryogenics* **1981**, *21*, 85–93.
- (12) Holcomb, C. D.; Magee, J. W.; Haynes, W. M. Density Measurements on Natural Gas Liquids; Technical Report PR-147; Gas Processors Association: Tulsa, OK, 1995.
- (13) Parrish, W. R. Compressed Liquid Densities of Propane Normal Butane Mixtures between 10 and 60 °C at Pressures up to 9.6 MPa. Fluid Phase Equilib. 1986, 25, 65–90.
- (14) Kahre, L. C. Liquid Density of Light Hydrocarbon Mixtures. J. Chem. Eng. Data. 1973, 18, 267-270.
- (15) Thompson, R. T., Jr.; Miller, R. C. Densities and Dielectric Constants of LPG Components and Mixtures at Cryogenic Storage Conditions. Adv. Cryog. Eng. 1980, 25, 698–708.
- (16) Duarte-Garza, H. A.; Magee, J. W. Isochoric  $p-\rho-T$  and Heat Capacity  $C_{\rm V}$  Measurements on  $\{xC_3H_8 + (1-x)i-C_4H_{10}, x \approx 0.7, 0.3\}$  from 200 to 400 K at Pressures to 35 MPa. J. Chem. Eng. Data. **1999**, 44, 1048–1054.
- (17) Haynes, W. M.; Goodwin, R. D. Thermophysical Properties of Normal Butane from 135 to 700 K at Pressures to 70 MPa; NBS Monograph 169; National Bureau of Standards: Washington, DC, 1982.

- (18) Yoshii, Y. Measurements of Saturation Densities and Critical Parameters for Alternative Refrigerants with Less Environmental Impact (in Japanese). Master's Thesis, Keio University, Yoko-
- Impact (In Japanese). Master's Thesis, Reio University, Toko hama, Japan, 2001.
  (19) Younglove, B. A.; Ely, J. F. Thermophysical Properties of Fluids. II. Methane, Ethane, Propane, Isobutane and Normal Butane. J. Phys. Chem. Ref. Data. 1987, 16, 577-798.
  (20) Hiza, M. J.; Haynes, W. M.; Parrish, W. R. Orthobaric Liquid Densities and Excess Volumes for Binary Mixtures of Low Molar March Allerges and Nitrogan batwan 105 and 140 K J. Chem.
- Mass Alkanes and Excess Volumes for Binary Mixtures of Low Motar Mass Alkanes and Nitrogen between 105 and 140 K. J. Chem. Thermodyn. 1977, 9, 873–896.
  (21) Nysewander, C. N.; Sage, B. H.; Lacey, W. N. Phase Equilibria in Hydrocarbon Systems. The Propane–n-Butane System in the Critical Region. Ind. Eng. Chem. 1940, 32, 118–123.
- (22) Kay, W. B. Vapor-Liquid Equilibrium Relations of Binary Systems. The Propane-*n*-Alkane Systems. *n*-Butane and *n*-Pentane. J. Chem. Eng. Data. **1970**, 15, 46-53.

Received for review September 14, 2004. Accepted November 10, 2004. Financial support by the Grant-in-aid for Scientific Research Fund from 2000 to 2002 (project no. 12450090), Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science and Technology, Japan, is acknowledged.

JE049671T